IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 6308 of 1995

Between:

Nishtala Subrahmanya Sarma S/o.Late Narayana Murthy
Lemarthi Agraharam Parvada Mandal, Visakhapatnam Dist.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner, Survey, Settlement and Land Records,Govt.of A.P
Station Road Hyderabad.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Visakhapatnam.
3 The Inams Tahsildar & M.R.O., Parwada Visakhapatnam District.
4 T.Sayamma W/o.Tirri Demudu (Late), R/o.Mantripalem Parvada Mandal
Visakhapatnam District.
5 T.Apparao S/o.Tirri Demudu (Late), R/o.Mantripalem Parvada Mandal
Visakhapatnam District.
6 D.S.N.Raju S/o.Atchaiah C/o.Shri M.Rama Rao,Advocate, H.No.412,

R.K.S.Apartment 'C' Block, Hyderguda Hyderabad.
7 D.Kasi Naidu S/o.Atchaiah C/o.Shri M.Rama Rao,Advocate H.No.412,

R.K.S.Apartment 'C' Block, Hyderguda Hyderabad.
8 A.Madhu Prasad S/o.Venkatarama Rao C/o.Shri M.Rama Rao,Advocate

H.No.412, R.K.S.Apartment 'C' Block, Hyderguda Hyderabad.
9 G.Prabhakar Rao S/o.Laxman Rao, I.P.H.S.Vemulpudi Narsipatnam

Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.

(Respondents 4 and 5 are the L.Rs. of late Tirri Demudu, 1st Respondent before the Commissioner, Survey & Settlement)

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Certiorari calling for the records leading up to and inclusive of the orders of the 3rd respondent in A.O.A.No.57/77 dated 14-2-92 confirmed in A.I.A.No/.3/93 dated 14-6-93 of the 2nd

respondent as confirmed by the 1st respondent in case No.V2/1204/93 dated 29-12-1994 and quash the same as illegal and violative of the principles of natural justice and consequently direct de-novo enquiry by respondent No.3.

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.P.SRI RAGHU RAM

Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 3 : GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.6 & 7 : Mr.M.RAMA RAO.

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4, 5, 8 & 9: None appeared.

The Court made the following :

ORDER :

Counsel for petitioner submits that the Writ Petition has become infructuous. Recording the same, the Writ Petition is dismissed as 'infructuous'. No order as to costs.

_____

23rd December, 2004 BILAL NAZKI, J

ajr

To

1 The Commissioner Survey, Settlement and Land Records,Govt.of A.P
Station Road, Hyderabad.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Visakhapatnam.
3 The Inams Tahsildar & M.R.O., Parwada Visakhapatnam District.

4 Two C.Cs., to the G.P. for Revenue, High Court buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT).

5 Two C.D. copies.